



## Patent Quality Initiative

(1) What about the quality of already-granted patents?

(2) Why don't any initiatives use the PTO's **fee-setting authority**?

## Quality of Granted Patents

## **Examination will never be perfect**

- Terms can change meaning over time (Lemley 2005)
- Prior art in nascent, rapidly-evolving fields that lack an indexed literature (Cohen & Lemley 2001)

#### Approx. 2,500,000 patents currently in force

- Most issued:
  - pre-*Alice*
  - pre-Myriad
  - pre-*Mayo*

- pre-KSR
- pre-Nautilus . . .



## Impact of Fees

## Patent value = tech value \* patent quality

- Those holding (or seeking) weak patents most sensitive to fee changes
- Higher fees → Fewer low value patents → fewer low quality patents



# Impact of Fees

#### **Post Grant Challenges**

- Those asserting weak patents benefit most from steep cost of patent suit defense
- Esp against SMEs, non-tech co, tech purchasers
- SMEs & purchasers underrepresented among petitioners (Love 2015)
- PTABs has invalidated patents that earned millions in settlements (Data Treasury, \$350M)
- Lower fees → more challenges → fewer low quality patents

### **Increase Maintenance Fees**

#### By how much?

- Pigovian formulation:
  - \$10B social cost / 2.5M patent =>
    \$4,000 per year
- Comparison to EU:
  - To maintain patents in Germany, UK,
    France, Italy & Spain => \$2,400 per year

Today in US: \$750 per year (of eff. protection)

#### **Decrease PTAB Fees**

#### **Inter Partes Review:**

Petition \$9k, Institution \$14k = \$23,000

#### **CBM Review:**

Petition \$12k, Institution \$18k = \$30,000

\*No discount for small, micro entities\*

Fee to file complaint in a patent suit: \$400



# PTO Proposed New Fee Sched. (Nov. 2015)

AIA § 10: PTO "may set or adjust by rule any fee"

- Inter Partes Review: \$23,000 → \$30,500
- CBM Review: \$30,000 → \$38,000
- Maintenance Fees: no change (real decrease)

Easier to maintain, harder to challenge . . .